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Abstract
Purpose – This study aims to examine student perceptions regarding the mid-semester transition from face-to-face to online delivery in an accounting course during spring 2020.
Design/methodology/approach – Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous universities and colleges worldwide transitioned from face-to-face instruction to online delivery during spring 2020. We find some evidence in line with prior literature that COVID-19 affected student learning experience from various aspects.
Findings – Thanks in part to effective teaching techniques implemented by the instructor during the transition, including online lecture videos recorded by the instructor, online class materials, early posting of answer keys, frequent communication through emails and bonus points for watching lecture videos, students still perceived their learning outcomes positively in general.
Originality/value – These teaching techniques can be used to enhance student learning experience and satisfaction during class modality transitions in unforeseen circumstances, for both hybrid and online business courses.
Keywords Accounting education, Online teaching, Course development, Hybrid course
Paper type Research paper

Introduction
The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in the spring of 2020 triggered an unprecedented shift in higher education, forcing numerous universities and colleges to abruptly transition from traditional face-to-face instruction to online delivery in the middle of the semester (Nazempour et al., 2022; Kirk-Jenkins and Hughey, 2021). Specifically, the second half of spring 2020 presented an unforeseen challenge for students and instructors alike, as they were unexpectedly forced to adapt to virtual learning due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Hodges and Fowler, 2020; Marinoni et al., 2020; Parks et al., 2021). This unexpected change affected not only the teaching and learning experience of faculty and students (e.g. Marek et al., 2021; Alhammadi, 2021), respectively, but also the operations and support systems of their institutions (Piotrowski and King, 2020; Izumi et al., 2021; Bouchey et al., 2021; Kumar, 2020). Instructors had to quickly familiarize themselves with the available online learning tools and resources to ensure the quality of their teaching. However, many institutions and instructors were underprepared to face such an unanticipated event, resulting in some difficulties in the transition to online learning (Taher et al., 2022). While COVID-19 is just one of the numerous shocks that disrupted education, various disruptive events can also cause chaos in similar ways. For instance, Opper et al. (2023) find that natural disasters not only impede student learning but also impact years of schooling.

Given the potential for comparable disruptive events, such as natural disaster and social turmoil, to impact higher education institutions, institutions and teaching faculty must possess adequate knowledge and strategies for similar situations in the future. Therefore, this study delves into a critical research question: How did mid-semester transition from face-to-face to online instruction during the COVID-19 pandemic affect students’ perceived learning experience within the context of an accounting course?
Using proprietary data from an upper-level accounting course, this study compares student feedback between spring 2020 and fall 2018. Amid the COVID-19 pandemic and school disclosures, our findings indicate a negative but insignificant impact on student learning experience. We attribute this finding to the implementation of effective teaching techniques, including instructor recorded online lecture videos, online class materials, early posting of answer keys, frequent email communications and bonus for rewarding active online learnings.

This study makes several contributions to the extant literature. First, in addition to providing a distinctive perspective on the development of teaching strategies that could be implemented to deal with class modality switches during future unforeseen events, this study identifies important teaching techniques that can be implemented in online setting to improve students’ perceived learning experience. Second, findings in this study indicate that with appropriate teaching strategies, online learning can provide students with a satisfying learning experience comparable to in-person classes.

**Literature review and hypothesis development**

The enduring impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly affected daily lives globally (Kessel et al., 2021). A 2020 survey by the International Association of Universities, analyzing data from 424 higher education institutions in 109 countries, reveals that over 80% of the sample institutions reported closures, causing substantial disruptions (Marinoni et al., 2020). Over 65% of the sample institutions transitioned from classroom teaching to distance teaching. To effectively navigate such unforeseen events, more studies are needed to share experience and insights on innovative teaching practices (e.g. Marinoni et al., 2020; Pokhrel and Chhetri, 2021; Rinaldi, 2023).

In fact, accounting educators from all over the world have had some discussions about adaptive pedagogy and practices in accounting education since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic (Djajadikerta et al., 2021; Sangster et al., 2020). For example, based on the feedback from 66 accounting educators across 45 different countries, Sangster et al. (2020) report that most institutions transitioned from face-to-face delivery to online-only delivery “at very short notice”. In this sudden shift, accounting faculty had to quickly familiarize themselves with new tools and technologies not always readily available (Sangster et al., 2020; Djajadikerta et al., 2021). Due to the unprecedented and sudden nature of the interruptions, many higher education institutions lacked prior experience in handling such situations, resulting in challenges and stress for accounting instructors (Djajadikerta et al., 2021; Sangster et al., 2020; Mardini and Mah’d, 2022). In addition to the lack of contingency plans at institutional level, students taking courses in a non-traditional learning environment were facing challenges and stress since many learning opportunities and resources were no longer accessible (Ali et al., 2020; Mali and Lim, 2021; Rinaldi, 2023). More importantly, students taking accounting courses online perceived key learning components, such as in-class interactions, group work and social elements, as less emphasized (Mali and Lim, 2021). Further, issues with online technologies hindered student engagements (Ali et al., 2020). Therefore, students with lower levels of digital literacy were more likely to experience stress in online learning environment (Rinaldi, 2023). Thus, we make the following prediction.

**H1.** Student ratings of learning and teaching effectiveness were lower in spring 2020 than in fall 2018.

Despite all the challenges and limitations, teaching faculty faced the necessity of promptly creating virtual learning resources, resulting in increased work hours and stress (Brammer and Clark, 2020). The abrupt transformation in response to the COVID-19 pandemic also marked a historic change in pedagogical and assessment practices (Brammer and Clark, 2020).
It is, therefore, imperative to identify and compile effective strategies for handling similar unforeseen events across disciplines and courses.

Online teaching is a powerful tool for advancing higher education. The number of students taking online courses has been steadily increasing, with a 29% increase in distance education course enrollment from 2012 to 2018 before the COVID-19 pandemic (Ruiz and Sun, 2021). According to the National Center for Education Statistics (2022), about 11.8 million undergraduate students in the US took at least one distance education course in fall 2020, representing a 97% increase from 2019. Particularly, the number of undergraduate students exclusively enrolled in distance education courses is 186% higher in 2020 than in 2019 (NCES, 2022).

Empirical findings on online learning are mixed (Bernard et al., 2004). Some studies find that there is no significant difference between online and face-to-face class modality in terms of student learning (Parsons-Pollard et al., 2008; Aly, 2016; Iverson et al., 2005). Parsons-Pollard et al. (2008) observe minimal differences in student performance between large online and in-person classes. Aly (2016) finds no impact of course delivery modalities on student learning in an introductory managerial accounting course, emphasizing the importance of course instructions and pedagogy. Similarly, Iverson et al. (2005) highlight that some individual factors, such as motivation, self-efficacy or metacognitive, are more important factors in determining graduate student performance.

On the contrary, some studies question online learning quality and its impact on student performance (Chen et al., 2013; Stivason et al., 2008). Chen et al. (2013) find that the effects of class modalities vary across different course levels, with students in advanced accounting courses favoring face-to-face delivery. In contrast, students in principles courses do not show such preference. Stivason et al. (2008) document that online students outperform traditional classroom students in specific coursework in an introductory accounting course, attributing the online students’ success to access to text and aids. However, issues such as lack of immediacy in responses (Petrides, 2002) and a disconnection with instructors (Vonderwell, 2003) may contribute to the negative association between online learning and performance.

Given mixed findings in prior literature, it is important to examine various aspects of online delivery and identify appropriate teaching strategies to improve online learning environment and effectiveness. To excel learning in online environment, three essential elements are necessary: cognitive presence, social presence and teaching presence (Garrison et al., 1999, 2001). Garrison et al. (2001) suggest that a proper teaching presence positively influences student possession of critical thinking skills in online learning environment. Carrillo and Flores (2020), analyzing 134 empirical studies on online teaching practices, provide evidence that these elements enhance online learning. Ray et al. (2007) recommend using collaborative learning tools in online environment, as these tools can enhance student enjoyment, leading to improved individual achievement. Students are more likely to use online learning resources effectively when these resources are linked to course assessment (Lento, 2018). Also, self-study learning resources posted to online learning platforms, such as Blackboard or Canvas, are more positively associated with students’ grades if these resources are dynamic and available in multiple communication modes such as audio and video recordings (Lento, 2018). In line with the study of Lento (2018), Salimi (2007) argues that online learning may increase student access by offering courses at convenient locations and reducing time constraints. Nevertheless, there are many issues to be addressed to ensure effective online teaching and realize the benefits it may offer.

Understanding students’ preferences for effective online learning methods is crucial as universities increasingly offer online courses. It becomes even more critical to prepare instructors for abrupt modality switches due to unforeseen events. Leveraging the COVID-19 lockdown, we demonstrate students’ perceptions of the abrupt transition to online learning in the middle of a semester and identify effective learning tools and methods to achieve the learning objectives in online learning environment.
**Research method**

We use a survey method in this study because it is a commonly used tool in accounting education research to analyze student perceptions on learning effectiveness and satisfaction (Caldwell *et al.*, 1996; Du, 2015; Opdecam and Everaert, 2012; Wen, 2021, 2023; Wen and Wang, 2022). The individual development and educational assessment (IDEA) survey is a normalized course evaluation tool to measure and assess learning outcomes, teaching effectiveness and course satisfaction. It was developed by Kansas State University in the late 1960s, which provides constructive quantitative and qualitative feedback to instructors (About IDEA, n.d.). The IDEA Survey only provides an aggregate dataset from all respondents. To establish a comprehensive understanding of the topic under investigation, we devised a set of sixteen additional questions based on an extensive literature review of prior academic studies and leveraging the instructor’s wealth of online teaching experience. Additionally, to better align with the objectives of our study, we made adaptations to several questions from the questionnaire used by Braun and Sellers (2012).

A quasi-experimental design is adopted to compare the student evaluations of an intermediate accounting II course in fall 2018 and spring 2020. This method was applied by several studies published in top accounting education journals (Apostolou *et al.*, 2020). The fall 2018 class serves as the control group whereas the spring 2020 class serves as the experimental group. We acknowledge the limitation in our design choice, as in a natural experiment, it is improbable for a subject to exist in both control and treatment groups. However, the students in this study have similar characteristics. Almost all students are accounting majors in their 3rd or 4th year and control and treatment groups have comparable demographic and racial distributions. In both the control and the experimental groups, students had the same instructor to ensure a similar teaching style, and the same assignments to ensure a similar learning experience. The pandemic is the main external shock impacting students’ perceived learning effectiveness.

We use fall 2018 as a pre-COVID control group instead of spring 2019 or fall 2019 for the following reasons. First, the instructor assigned a trial assignment in spring 2019. This assignment was discontinued in the following academic years. The differences in course tasks and materials are likely to influence students’ perceptions about their learning effectiveness and other aspects of the course [1]. Second, fall 2018 and spring 2020 are much more comparable in terms of course content and class size. We maintain the data from spring 2020 not only because it holds significant value in assessing student perceptions of how the pandemic may have affected them, but also because of its scarcity as data collection was challenging during a period with great uncertainties associated with social distancing and lockdown policies. The participation of the IDEA Survey course evaluation is voluntary. Student participants receive about 1% of the total grade as a bonus credit to finish all questions on the IDEA Survey. A survey was conducted among eighteen (twenty-seven) students who took the class in fall 2018 (spring 2020). Eighteen (twenty) students responded to relevant questions, resulting in a response rate of 100% (74.07%).

**Results**

To investigate the impact of COVID-19 on teaching and learning effectiveness, we analyze student perceptions of learning and teaching procedures based on two sets of relevant questions and present the statistics in Table 1. Panel A presents students’ perceptions of learning effectiveness. The IDEA system provided only aggregated rating information and did not compute standard deviations based on the ratings given by individual students. In general, ratings on students’ perceived learning decreased by 10% from fall 2018 to spring 2020. The decrease in average ratings is
consistent with the expectation that abrupt class modality change hinders student learning from different aspects.

Due to inherent limitations in the data about student learning effectiveness, we use Panel B as supplementary information to facilitate our analysis. It displays student perceptions of the instructor’s teaching effectiveness. Overall, the average rating of teaching effectiveness dropped in spring 2020. But the change is statistically insignificant. In sum, Table 1 suggests that despite the negative impact of the class modality change on student ratings of learning effectiveness, students did not perceive the teaching as less effective in spring 2020. We further analyze students’ perceptions of the course using data provided in Table 2.

Table 2 reveals that most students did believe that they had devoted more effort to academic work than other students as the average value of student ratings on Q.1 is 3.89 (3.75) in fall 2018 (spring 2020). The statistical results of two independent samples are calculated by using the tools of Sergeant (2022). Most students felt confident about mastering this accounting course at the beginning of the class period (Q.2) and they believed that they had relevant backgrounds for this course (Q.3). Interestingly, the average values of student ratings on the overall instructor (Q.4) and course (Q.5) evaluation are not statistically different
between fall 2018 and spring 2020. These results indicate that the unexpected transition from face-to-face to online teaching did not impose a negative impact on students’ overall satisfaction when applying some useful teaching strategies. Therefore, we reject H1.

Sixteen additional survey questions are presented in Table 3. Our findings reveal that lecture videos, online materials and the prompt availability of answer keys are the highest-rated factors among all the other measures. Specifically, the average value of student response to “lecture videos provided by the instructor help me in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of semester” is 4.40, which is the same as the average value of student ratings on “online class materials on Canvas, such as electronic files of lecture notes provided by the instructor, help me in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of semester”. The average value of student response to “the instructor posts answer keys before the due dates of all assignments, which helps me in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of semester” is 4.30.

When students responded to the question “which of the following helps me the most in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of the semester”, 12 out of 20 respondents (60% of respondents) chose “all of them”, which includes lecture videos, online class materials, the practice of posting answer keys early and instructor’s e-mails. Four out of 20 respondents (20% of respondents) preferred “online class materials”. Three out of 20 respondents (15% of respondents) chose “lecture videos”. One out of 20 respondents (5% of respondents) chose “the practice of posting answer keys early”. The average value of student response to “what is your overall rating of your experience in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of the semester” is 4.05 out of 5. The overwhelmingly positive ratings regarding students’ experience in the transition suggest that the above-mentioned teaching strategies mediate the student concerns on learning/teaching effectiveness.

Further, the average value of student ratings on “the bonus points for lecture videos motivated me to watch lecture videos” is 3.8 out of 5. When students responded to the question “how often do you watch lecture videos with bonus point policy”, 12 out of 20 respondents (60% of respondents) chose “always”. Seven out of 20 respondents (35% of respondents) preferred “usually”. One out of 20 respondents (5% of respondents) chose “only if a quiz will be given”. These findings indicate that most students considered lecture videos as an important learning tool after the class modality change, and the availability of bonus points encouraged students to make use of such tool.

### Table 2.
Descriptive statistics of student course evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation questions</th>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>SPRING 2020</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>One-tailed t-test (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q.1 As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.2 When this course began I believed I could master its content</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.3 My background prepared me well for this course’s requirements</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.4 Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.5 Overall, I rate this course as excellent</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N1 8 2 0

Source(s): Table by authors
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The remaining questions offer further insights into student perceptions and online experience during spring 2020. In summary, students believed that they were better prepared for quizzes and exams after reading the lecture videos (Q. 9 and Q. 14). Also, students expressed that they would be more engaged in watching the lecture videos if this activity were a mandatory requirement incorporated into the grading policies (Q. 16).

Discussion and conclusion
Taking advantage of the quasi-experimental research design, we conducted a comparative analysis of student responses in the end-of-semester course evaluations of two intermediate accounting II classes offered in different semesters. Different from a typical semester, higher education institutions were forced to make an abrupt transition from in-person delivery to online learning in the middle of spring 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our analysis of student responses indicates that, contrary to our initial predictions, there is no apparent decrease in student ratings of learning outcomes and learning/teaching effectiveness, despite the transition from in-person to online learning. In fact, students had a positive experience in the transition. Further analysis, conducted using a set of additional questions, reveals that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey questions</th>
<th>Spring 2020 Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q.1 Lecture videos provided by the instructor helped me in the transition from a</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>face-to-face delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of the semester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.2 Online class materials on Canvas, such as electronic files of lecture notes</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provided by the instructor, helped me in the transition from a face-to-face delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to a remote/online delivery in the middle of the semester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.3 The instructor posted answer keys before the due dates of all assignments,</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>which helped me in the transition from a face-to-face delivery to a remote/online</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delivery in the middle of the semester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.4 Which of the following helped me the most in the transition from a face-to-face</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of the semester?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.5 What is the overall rating of your experience in the transition from a face-to-face</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delivery to a remote/online delivery in the middle of semester?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.6 Bonus points for lecture videos motivated me to watch lecture videos</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.7 How often did you watch lecture videos without bonus point policy?</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.8 How often did you watch lecture videos with bonus point policy?</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.9 As a result of having bonus points for lecture videos, I was more prepared</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>than I would have otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.10 As a result of having bonus points for lecture videos, I kept up with the</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assigned textbook homework better than I would have otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.11 As a result of having bonus points for lecture videos, I didn’t need to</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cram for exams as much as I would have otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.12 As a result of having bonus points for lecture videos, I was more engaged</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in this online class than I would have been otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.13 As a result of having bonus points for lecture videos, I learned the course</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>material better than I would have otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.14 The use of bonus points for lecture videos had a positive impact on my exam</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.15 What is your overall rating of bonus point policy for lecture videos?</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q.16 If this class had a required class policy to watch lecture videos as part</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of grade instead of bonus points, I would have spent more time watching lecture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>videos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remaining Table is shown here:

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of student ratings on measures taken during the transition

Source(s): Table by authors
consistent student ratings can be attributed to several important measures implemented by the instructor. Specifically, our findings indicate that lecture videos, online course materials, prompt availability of answer keys, frequency email communications from instructors and the inclusion bonus points are instrumental in maintaining teaching quality and enhancing student satisfaction.

More importantly, events like the COVID-19 pandemic can adversely affect higher education, especially when institutions lack support for online education. The abrupt transition from face-to-face to online class delivery mid-semester posed significant challenges for many four-year institutions. This unprecedented event provided an opportunity to study measures for maintaining teaching quality and enhancing student learning experience.

This study contributes to the existing literature on online learning in several ways. First, it expands upon prior findings (Sun and Chen, 2016) by identifying specific online teaching methods that enhance student success. Examining students’ perspective on effective learning materials, we find that instructors’ materials and techniques supporting self-motivation, accommodating visual learning styles and providing timely feedback significantly impact students’ satisfaction with online education.

Second, this study strengthens prior findings that online learning can be as effective as traditional education. It compares students’ satisfaction in a traditional intermediate accounting course with the same course shifted online during the COVID-19 pandemic. By controlling variations in instructors and teaching styles, this study indicates that online education can be successful if students receive sufficient learning materials and incentives.

Third, considering Unger and Meiran’s (2020) finding that students negatively perceive online learning during shifts prompted by the COVID-19 pandemic, it is crucial for higher education institutions to develop effective teaching strategies. Preparing for potential future transitions, faculty members should identify and incorporate factors contributing to students’ satisfactory learning experience into their online classes. This approach facilitates successful transitions from traditional to online educational environment while maintaining quality and student satisfaction.

This study provides evidence to show the effects of several teaching practices and strategies that were implemented during the transition in spring 2020. We believe that these practices and strategies can also be applied to other comparable circumstances where abrupt class mode transition must be taken. These practices are also helpful for some hybrid or online business courses to improve student learning experience and student satisfaction.

**Note**
1. For example, Friedlan (1995) documents that different teaching approaches influence students’ perceptions regarding the skills required to succeed in an accounting course. The perception difference can also affect students’ learning expectations and overall learning experience.
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